

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/269,250	GOULMY, ELSA AFRA, JULIA, MARIA
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jehanne Souaya Sitton	1634

**All Participants:**

(1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Robert Katz. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jehanne Sitton

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THe examiner indicated that claims 23 and 24 were duplicates. THe examiner indicated that the specification referenced a "figure 7" however no figure 7 could be found Applican'ts representative indicated that claim 23 had been intended to have different sequences in it and authorized the amendment to claim 23 in the examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative indicated that applicant's would be contacted for authorization to delete reference to Figure 7. On June 1, applicant's representative indicated that reference to figure 7 should be deleted in the examiner's amendment.